

To: Garber, Kristina[Garber.Kristina@epa.gov]
From: Raimondo, Sandy
Sent: Fri 5/12/2017 7:35:53 PM
Subject: FW: here's the final version of the rel risk ms
[EttersonEtAl.2017.PLOS.One.pdf](#)

Hey Kris,

For the Pop modeling framework paper, I'd really like the case studies to be chemical specific. I think what's missing from all the previous papers on this topic is they all speak of case studies in very ambiguous terms and I think they need to be presented very clearly in terms of statute, chemical, and species. Currently the case study for TIM/McNest is a summary of the model, and not really a case study of a specific chemical. I know you and Matt are pretty busy, and I will shape the case study around the specifics, but can you send me the TIM/McNest section of a real risk assessment for one of the chemicals in the attached paper?

I'm going to try and get you guys a next draft in the next week or two. If I can get this narrowed in before then I think it will help to demonstrate why I want more specificity. If not, then I can fill it in later.

Thanks,

Sandy

From: Etterson, Matthew
Sent: Wednesday, May 03, 2017 1:47 PM
To: Raimondo, Sandy <Raimondo.Sandy@epa.gov>
Subject: here's the final version of the rel risk ms